A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY ATTEST

By Tarrell L. Littleton on Apr 02, 2010

FOR THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Mar 18, 2010

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2100

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

APR - 2 2010

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-17)

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EAST ST. LOUIS OFFICE

On October 1, 2009, the Panel transferred 32 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 655 F.Supp.2d 1343 (J.P.M.L. 2009). Since that time, 302 additional actions have been transferred to the Southern District of Illinois. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable David R. Herndon.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Illinois and assigned to Judge Herndon.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Southern District of Illinois for the reasons stated in the order of October 1, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable David R. Herndon.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 14 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

pr 02, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION FOR THE PANEL:

Clerk of the Panel

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

TIFIED TRUE COPY

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2100

SCHEDULE CTO-17 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST. DIV. C.A.#	CASE CAPTION	
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN CAN 3 10-690 CAN 4 10-883	Erika Parrish v. McKesson Corp., et al. Helene Gumbrecht v. Bayer Corp., et al. Oppos	10-20226-DRH-PMF sed 4/1/10
COLORADO CO 1 10-344	Ricki Horst v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20227-DRH-PMF
MICHIGAN EASTERN MIE 2 10-10396	Ashia Moore v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20228 - DRH-PMF
MINNESOTA MN 0 10-443 MN 0 10-498	Shannon Riggle, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al. Jennifer Adams v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20229-DRH-PMF 10-20230-DRH-PMF
MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN MSS 3 10-101 MSS 3 10-106	Brittney Myles v. Bayer Corp., et al. Stephanie Bryant v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20231-DRH-PMF 10-20232-DRH-PMF
NEVADA NV 2 09-2413	Lindsey Worthen v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20233-DRH-PMF
NEW YORK SOUTHERN NYS 1 10-219 NYS 1 10-1189 NYS 1 10-1190	Torquoris M. Strong v. Bayer Corp., et al. Netta Jackson v. Bayer Corp., et al. Patricia Howard, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20234- DRH-PMF 10-20235- DRH-PMF 10-20236- DRH-PMF
OHIO NORTHERN OHN 3 09-2089	Anastasia Warner v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20237-DRH-PMF
PENNSYLVANIA EASTER PAE 2 10-574	Ruth Shoge v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20238-DRH-PMF
WASHINGTON WESTERN WAW 2 10-242 WAW 2 10-243	Laura Strothers, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al. Samantha St. John v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20239-DRH-PMF 10-20240-DRH-PMF